
From: Phil & Sue Green [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, 26 August 2020 10:31 PM

To: Clive Boughton [REDACTED] 

Cc: Spence, Rohan <Rohan.Spence@act.gov.au>; [REDACTED]

Subject: Re: Evacs

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the ACT Government. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Clive (and Ro)

Re: your latest email:

Hopefully this separation of transfer value 1 from first preference votes and transfer value 1 from a surplus is correct. It's probably arguable either way, but the Act is probably not clear on the point (I haven't gone back to look at it again).

So, apart from this slight difference, if your spreadsheets are exactly the same as the 2012 and 2016 spreadsheets, it looks like you've got it nailed.

Re your second latest email:

However, it is not correct to state 'that all exhausted votes possess an inherent value of 0.' This is only true when a surplus is first distributed. It is not true when someone is being excluded, as you can see from any table 2. In this case, the same transfer value that applies to all continuing ballots in an exclusion also apply to exhausted votes. (After a ballot is sorted to exhausted, it cannot be moved again, so AFTER it has been transferred, it no longer needs a transfer value.) If your spreadsheets are matching 2012 and 2016 though, your calculations should be correct.

And yes, I think your understanding of LBF is a fair summary.

Cheers

Phil